

**APPENDIX i: TABLE OF REPRESENTATIONS, AND THE COUNCIL’S RESPONSE AND RECOMMENDATIONS FOR ANY CHANGES TO THE ASSESSMENT DOCUMENT IN RELATION TO THEM – FOR RE-DESIGNATING BREDGAR. C.A.**

<b>Rep. No(s).</b>	<b>Representation By</b>	<b>Summary of Representation</b>	<b>Officer Response</b>	<b>Recommendation</b>
1	Local resident	Pleased to see the special character of Bredgar described and recognised in this Review. In particular, we welcome the connection shown between the heritage buildings in the village setting with the rural landscape, noting how important the notable trees and hedgerows, and the views outwards, are to this setting. We support this Conservation Area review and its management plan	Noted & welcomed	No change to the assessment document needed.
2	Bredgar Parish Council	<p>The Parish Council (PC) supports the continued re-designation.</p> <p>A schedule of corrections has been provided.</p> <p>Schedule of correction: Page 40, please add “working” to the title or description. Perhaps “K6 TELEPHONE KIOSK (WORKING) TO THE NORTHWEST</p>	<p>Noted and welcomed.</p> <p>Noted and the proposed corrections can be largely accommodated without fundamentally altering the shape of the document.</p> <p>Noted, however it is recommended to adhere strictly to the address used in the statutory list description.</p>	<p>No change to the assessment document needed.</p> <p>To make changes to the assessment document as per the schedule of corrections.</p> <p>No change to the assessment document needed.</p>
		Points of uncertainty, requiring checking	Noted and the proposed corrections can be largely accommodated without fundamentally altering the shape of the document.	To make changes to the assessment document as per points raised.

**PROPOSED BREDGAR. C.A. – REPRESENTATIONS, RESPONSE & RECOMMENDATIONS TABLE (Continued)**

Rep. No(s).	Representation By	Summary of Representation	Officer Response	Recommendation
2	Bredgar Parish Council (contd.)	<p>Page 33 et seq. “Appendix 1, Map regression”. The Appraisal includes a useful collection of early maps, from 1575 to 1946. Please check that the legend “Ordnance Survey First Series 1816” on the fifth map is correct. It appears to be a map from about 1860-1870.</p> <p>Page 4. “Map 1. Bredgar Conservation Area”. The map used for the 2006 Appraisal, on SBC’s website is more detailed than the one used here. Presumably this is standardised across all the Conservation Area Appraisals, but it would be good to have the detailed version somewhere in the document, perhaps as an appendix. The current map does not properly resolve the buildings discussed.</p> <p><u>2.0 Character Appraisal</u> This section provides an excellent overview of the various elements making up the character of the village and is the better for the occasional more subjective opinions, sometimes negative.</p> <p>Character Appraisal -Minor corrections</p>	<p>It has not been possible to verify the map referred to as Ordnance Survey First Series 1816 on page 36. As it is virtually the same as the 1970 version -it is recommended to be deleted.</p> <p>Agreed that the building outlines are shown a little clearer on the SBC website version. Map to be substituted.</p> <p>Noted &amp; welcomed.</p> <p>Noted and the proposed corrections can be largely accommodated without fundamentally altering the shape of the document.</p>	<p>To make changes to the assessment document.</p> <p>To make changes to the assessment document</p> <p>No change to the assessment document needed.</p> <p>To make changes to the assessment document as per points raised.</p>

**PROPOSED BREDGAR. C.A. – REPRESENTATIONS, RESPONSE & RECOMMENDATIONS TABLE (Continued)**

Rep. No(s).	Representation By	Summary of Representation	Officer Response	Recommendation
2.	Bredgar Parish Council (contd.)	<p>Page 20. "Map 3: Significant Trees". Perhaps a legend explaining why the green dots are different sizes would be useful. Is it just individual size of the trees? If so, seems odd that the big horse chestnut by the pond (for example) does not show as large as some of the trees of Parsonage Farm.</p> <p>Page 24. "Map 4: Significant views". The Appraisal includes a good number of views, both from external points looking towards the conservation area and from the conservation looking out. It would be helpful if the images were numbered and corresponded in a more one-to-one fashion with the numbers in the map.</p> <p>Page 25. "2.10 Setting" Bredgar Parish Council are keen to emphasize the importance of the links between the central village of Bredgar and the hamlets. Perhaps the last paragraph could be adjusted a little, to something like: "...and later the shops and school. Whilst there is little visual link between Bredgar and the small hamlets, the significance of the historical connection is demonstrated by the extensive network of lanes and footpaths, formed long before the advent of motor transport."</p> <p>Page 27. Bredgar Parish Council also agrees with the list of "Key negative characteristics". However, we would like it noted that several derelict houses, e.g., Ides House</p>	<p>A legend would imply that the green dots are anything other than indicative of tree positions. Recommended to leave as is.</p> <p>This may just create unnecessary complexity as there is not a direct correlation between the significant views 1 to 4 and all the blue arrows on the map.</p> <p>Noted and the proposed corrections can be largely accommodated without fundamentally altering the shape of the document.</p> <p>Noted. However, referencing these two</p>	<p>No change to the document.</p> <p>No change to the document.</p> <p>To make changes to the assessment document as per points raised.</p> <p>No change to the document.</p>

**PROPOSED BREDGAR. C.A. – REPRESENTATIONS, RESPONSE & RECOMMENDATIONS TABLE (Continued)**

Rep. No(s).	Representation By	Summary of Representation	Officer Response	Recommendation
2.	Bredgar Parish Council (Contd.)	<p>and Wigmore House have been rebuilt to a high standard since the last review.</p> <p>Page 27. In “3.0 Summary and Conclusion” , the Appraisal discusses the boundaries of Conservation Area, with regard to whether they are correctly drawn and whether the area should be extended or reduced in size. Particular consideration is given to the possibility of including parts of Silver Street, to the west of the current Conservation Area. However, because there is modern development between the areas, interrupting the visual continuity, the recommendation is that the boundary should not be extended or revised.</p> <p>The Parish Council accepts this analysis and conclusion, which springs from the requirement (see “1.2 The Purpose of conservation areas”, p.5, final paragraph) that conservation areas should be “cohesive”. However, this requirement, while understandable, does lead to inconsistencies. The Airey houses south of the pond, for example, are readily included in the Conservation Area, whereas significant houses, or groups of houses, such as those making up the hamlets of Bexon or Silver Street, are excluded because they are separated by a field or a short section of road with some modern development. If the larger aim of conservation areas is to “protect historic</p>	<p>houses would be a little too detailed under the heading of summary and conclusion on p27. Wigmore House already has a positive reference on page 13 and Ides falls well outside of the conservation area.</p> <p>There isn't an available system for ‘satellite’ conservation areas. If Bexon merits designation in its own right consideration be given to a separate designation rather than a ‘satellite’ designation. Of all the outlying areas reviewed during the visit to Bredgar, Bexon was the most characterful and coherent.</p> <p>Recommended to review Bexon as a conservation area in its own right - to include properties along Hawks Hill Lane and cluster of properties on Bexon Lane to northeast of Hawks Hill Lane.</p>	<p>No change to the document.</p>

**PROPOSED BREDGAR. C.A. – REPRESENTATIONS, RESPONSE & RECOMMENDATIONS TABLE (Continued)**

Rep. No(s).	Representation By	Summary of Representation	Officer Response	Recommendation
2.	Bredgar Parish Council (contd.)	<p>places....so that their special character is safeguarded and sustained” (see “1.2 The Purpose of conservation areas”, p.5, second paragraph) then this problem needs to be addressed. Either a greater willingness to create very small Conservation Areas, perhaps only comprising a few buildings, or – preferable – a system recognising “satellite” Conservation Areas, subsidiary to the main Conservation Area but linked by history as well as by lanes and footpaths needs to be considered. In particular, Bredgar Parish Council believes that the hamlet of Bexon, to the east of the Conservation Area of Bredgar, should be recognised as an important area deserving of the protection that Conservation Area status could give to it.</p> <p>While we recognize that the determination has been fairly and reasonably made in this instance, we would recommend that it is noted, either in the document itself or in associated material held by Swale Borough Council, that the option for further Conservation Areas or similar entities within the larger parish of Bredgar is still open for consideration in the future.</p> <p><u>Concerning 4.0 Bredgar Conservation Area Management Strategy</u></p> <p>Bredgar Parish Council fully endorses all the conservation-related aims of the Swale Borough Local Plan in the bullet-point list.</p>	<p>Noted &amp; welcomed.</p> <p>Noted and welcomed. However, consideration</p>	<p>No change to the document.</p>

**PROPOSED BREDGAR. C.A. – REPRESENTATIONS, RESPONSE & RECOMMENDATIONS TABLE (Continued)**

Rep. No(s).	Representation By	Summary of Representation	Officer Response	Recommendation
2.	Bredgar Parish Council (contd.)	<p>Noting that the Local Heritage List can also include structures other than buildings, as well as green spaces etc., Bredgar Parish Council would like to put forward the following to add to the list:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Gore Pond, at the junction of Gore Road and The Street. The Pond has been in its present form since at least the 18<sup>th</sup> century, probably much longer.</li> <li>• The Recreation Ground, Gore Road. This was opened in 1897 and had been in continuous use.</li> <li>• The Village Sign. On the corner of Gore Road and Primrose Lane. Made of wrought iron, showing a view across the pond to the gable end of Chantry House, this was designed by Norman Hepple R.A. and installed in 1972. It was given by Bredgar Women’s Institute to celebrate their golden jubilee.</li> </ul>	needs to be given to whether they meet SBC’s selection criteria for the Local Heritage List to avoid arbitrary inclusions.	No change to the document.